

16 January 1952

To CINCPAC: Mr. Wolf

1. I delivered the original of the attached to Mr. Hedden.
2. The following significant points are indicated by this study:

a. Voucherized Employees

(1) 18 non-Washington area employees borrowed money before first pay check; 23 did not.

(2) Present method of payment is satisfactory to 95; unsatisfactory to only 3.

(3) Relatively little difficulty in cashing pay checks. Difficulty is in identification, which is not different from problem confronting fully cleared employees.

b. Unvoucherized Employees (Including Commo Pool)

(1) 51 borrowed money before first pay check; 61 did not.

(2) Present method of payment is satisfactory to 73; unsatisfactory to 27.

(3) Relatively little difficulty in cashing checks; no more difficulty than is experienced by those who are paid with U.S. Government Treasury checks. It is reasonable to assume that difficulty is only in identification.

c. Only five employees cashed checks at the Credit Union and one at AF Building Finance Office.

3. From this I conclude that the only real problem about which we can do very much, and still be legal, is the long period between pay checks, even though the survey does not indicate any significant difference between employees who are paid every two weeks and those who are paid every four weeks. If they were all paid every two weeks it would put them on the same basis and, I believe, reduce this problem somewhat.

4. If it will not place too much of a burden on Mr. Saunders, I recommend that we pay the unvoucherized employees every two weeks.

5. I wanted you to have this information and will pursue the problem with Mr. Saunders in order to make a final determination.

L. K. WHITE

Memo dtd 14 Jan 52 to ADD/A fr Chief,  
Pers Div (O) sub: "Survey of Finan. Status of Pool Personnel."

# MISSING PAGE

**ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):**

missing 1 attachment